# PLANNING BOARD MEETING MINUTES SEPTEMBER 12, 2022

MEMBERS PRESENT
JAMES ANDERSON, CHAIRMAN
DAVID BURROWS
SUSAN DURST
RUSSELL FRANK
VINCENT GRASSI
SEVEN SIEGEL

STAFF PRESENT
THOMAS POIRIER, DIRECTOR OF
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
CAROL EYERMAN, TOWN PLANNER

## MEMBERS ABSENT SCOTT HERRICK

Chairman James Anderson called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. The roll was called, noting that Scott Herrick was absent.

## APPROVAL OF THE AUGUST 1, 2022 MEETING MINUTES

Russell Frank MOVED and Susan Durst SECONDED a motion to approve the minutes of the August 1, 2022 meeting minutes. Motion CARRIED, 6 ayes (Scott Herrick absent).

#### **COMMITTEE REPORTS**

#### A. Ordinance Review Committee

Ms. Durst reported that this Committee had not met.

## B. Comprehensive Plan Implementation Committee

Russell Frank reported that the Committee continued its discussion on the South Gorham Crossroads to adopt the South Gorham Crossroads District, some changes were made, and it was decided the item can come before the Board for public hearing. In addition, the Committee discussed the South Gorham Corridor Commercial District, which involves collaboration/cooperation between Gorham and Scarborough. More workshops will be needed to discuss this amendment.

## ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW REPORT

Ms. Eyerman said there were no new Administrative Review projects to report.

**CONSENT AGENDA – Subdivision Amendment - Julie Colpitts** – a request for approval to amend the approved Blueberry Ridge Subdivision to reduce the number of lots from 29 to 5, zoned R, Map 54, Lots 4-8 through Lots 4-29.

There being no one from the Board or the public wishing to remove the item from the Consent Agenda for discussion,

Vincent Grassi MOVED and Susan Durst SECONDED a motion to approve the item on the Consent Agenda. Motion CARRIED, 6 ayes (Scott Herrick absent).

Public Hearing – Site Plan Amendment – Grondin Aggregates, LLC – Brandy Brook Quarry – a request for approval to include the addition of stormwater infrastructure, winter salt storage shed, and stump and brush processing, zoned R-SZ, Map 42, Lot 5.

Ms. Eyerman advised the Board that this is the 4<sup>th</sup> time this application has come before the Board. The submission created questions about previous approvals and the status of the applicant's site plan amendment process. Items of note include discussions about bringing the site more into compliance with the original approval and to meet the standards that were set in place then by the Board. Town staff has had multiple conversations with the applicant since the meeting in December 2020 to request and review additional material required by the Town for compliance with previous approvals, mainly the approval from 1998. Staff agrees that 14.5 acres was originally approved for the quarry/pit. The use of the terms quarry and pit are used interchangeably in the original approval and definitions of each are not completely obvious. Questions from staff are included in the review memo provided to the Board.

Ms. Eyerman said that the Town's review engineer has noted some items needing to be addressed under the stormwater review. She said she understands that the DEP will also review the proposed stormwater.

Mr. Anderson advised that he is employed by Great Falls Construction and currently Grondin is working on one of their projects. He said he participated in other reviews of this item before Great Falls became involved with Grondin, and said he believes he can be impartial in reviewing this item tonight.

Vincent Grassi MOVED and Russell Frank SECONDED a motion to allow Mr. Anderson to participate in the discussion on this item. Motion CARRIED, 5 ayes (James Anderson abstaining, Scott Herrick absent).

Owens McCullough, Sebago Technics, appeared on behalf of the applicant and introduced Larry Grondin. Mr. McCullough discussed the concern about surface drainage associated with the stockpiles on the northwesterly property line flowing to the abutter's property, saying that the stormwater runoff analysis has been revised to construct a detention pond in support of the stockpiles on site which will collect the water previously headed in that direction and which will discharge into the southerly end of the site into a natural vegetated wooded buffer. The level lip spreader has been added to the plan, per the request of the Town's review engineer, as well as some other minor items which have been addressed.

Mr. McCullough commented about the buffering adjacent to the property line, noting that signed agreements in 2002 by Catherine Benson and carried forward allowed for a reduction to 25 feet and 10 feet. A drainage ditch toward the west toward the Benson property has been filled and drainage will be directed toward Route 25 to another culvert and level lip spreader.

Mr. McCullough said the sand and salt storage building will be enclosed and has been registered with the DEP. Having the salt enclosed will ensure that no chlorides will be discharged. Mr. McCullough said the 14.5 acre figure is agreeable and that proposed reclamation of 4 acres will bring the total pit size down to 14.5 acres. The reclamation will be done over the course of the winter.

Mr. Anderson opened the public hearing.

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD OPENED: Neal Hickey, 121 Cressey Road – spoke about the small emanating from the bio-solid sludge being used at the quarry; dust from the quarry after blasting; access to blasting record information from Maine Drilling and Blasting; noise from the stump grinding and how to measure the noise; who will oversee the reclamation to determine the correct amount of fill; how will drainage affect his well water; will the salt shed mean hours of operation to include backup alarms on a Sunday as well, in addition to hours of operation beyond 5:00 p.m.; would like to see the operation tightened up, such as the sequencing of phasing from one phase to another.

Pam Benson, 109 Lovers Lane – provided the Board with two handouts and spoke about the growth of the quarry and the phasing of its original approval; the current size of the quarry; increase of the stockpile acreage; reclamation of phases and bonding; performance bond adequacy; addition of multi-uses which are not directly related to mineral extraction; and more oversight of quarries needed to be done by the Town.

Alton Benson, 109 Lovers Lane – said the rim of the quarry is not the limit of area needing reclamation; should the road around the rim also be reclaimed; reclamation enforcement; details of reclamation lacking; the slope at the bottom of the quarry; a vertical wall cannot be loamed and seeded; standing water in the bottom of the quarry; is the stockpile area proposed to be expanded; the level lip spreader is in the setback and is too steep; contour lines in area of the salt shed; and the adequacy of performance guarantee amount.

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD ENDED

Larry Grondin, Grondin Aggregates, LLC, addressed the abutters' comments as follows:

#### Mr. Hickey's comments:

- Biosolids were used initially but incorrectly mixed; that use has been discontinued and no further amending of top soils is being done.
- Every blast is monitored, the closest house is done every time, and multiple other houses are done as well. Blast reports are public records with the Town and are available from the Code Enforcement Office.
- Dust is created when there is an actual blast, which happens once or twice a month on days when the wind is less in the direction of abutters. Daily operations include watering regularly, putting down calcium on occasion. Water is put on the roads, on the processing equipment, try to sweep the pavement as much as possible.
- Noise from stump grinding will not be any louder than noise from rock crushing and the standard is being met at the property line.
- They have been working with staff on a reclamation plan.

- No additional hours of operation are proposed for the salt shed. A different location will be used for after-hours activities. Normal hours of operation are from 6:30 to 5:00, although the Town ordinance allows pits to work from 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.
- Part of their original approval calls for every direct abutter being offered an annual inspection. They are trying to get back on that schedule with their blasting companies.
- The pit is inspected regularly by Maine DEP and the Town's ordinances and those of DEP have many cross-over issues concerning environmental protection.

#### Mrs. Benson's comments:

- They are working with the Town on what should have been done on the phasing as outlined in the original plan of 1998. Actual extraction is now focused on phase 1, with nothing in phase 2 or phase 3.
- Plans from 1998 clearly state that the original quarry was 4.5 acres and then no more than 10 acres of new pit would be permitted, which they and staff agree makes a total of 14.5 acres as being the intent of the Planning Board in 1998. Maine DEP standards are not to exceed 15 acres.
- Discussion needs to happen with staff about the bond amount, which is by far the largest bond amount of any quarry or gravel pit that they operate, 3 times greater.
- They have operated salt sheds in other locations with no noise or well issues.
- Concrete and pavement are taken in to be recycled and made into a gravel product.

### Mr. Benson's comments:

- The large stormwater pond should address some of the abutter's issues.
- The floor grade of the quarry is not going to be reclaimed; the 1% is when it is reclaimed, closed out and done.
- They will talk to staff about a temporary reclamation of a portion of the quarry.
- The buffer where the level lip spreader is to be located is 50 feet bigger than it has to be and the buffer along Cressey Road is 100 feet bigger than the Town standard, both part of the Town standards and part of negotiations with neighbors. No changes are proposed to those buffers.
- The current reclamation shows a 3:1 slope, but in a worst case scenario, a quarry can be considered reclaimed with a vertical wall with the floor grassed.
- Contour lines in front of salt shed for the road will be shown on the final plan.

Ms. Durst confirmed with Mr. Grondin that the hours of operation were changed from those approved in 1998 so that trucks can be loaded from 6:30 to 7:00 and hours of processing equipment are from 7:00 to 5:00. Mr. Grondin said the Town's ordinance allows operation hours from 6:00 to 6:00, but processing equipment is not run outside of 7:00 to 5:00. Ms. Eyerman replied to a question from Mr. Anderson saying that in the original plan, the existing pit/quarry is described as 4.5 acres, plus an approval granting 10 additional acres, resulting in the 14.5 acres under discussion.

Mr. Anderson confirmed that many of the neighbors' concerns seem to involve current pit operations such as noise, monitoring and pre-blast surveys, and confirmed with Ms. Eyerman that these are a combination of responsibilities of the Code Enforcement Office, the applicant, and the DEP in some cases, with oversight by the Town.

The Board and Mr. Grondin discussed at length the reclamation issue, with Ms. Eyerman noting that what is being proposed as reclamation now is different from what was required originally, because originally each phase was supposed to be reviewed and approved by the Planning Board. Right now, there are three phases proceeding concurrently, although phase 1 is in the reclamation process. Mr. Grondin confirmed that all the actual extraction efforts have been shifted to phase 1. Ms. Eyerman answered a question from Ms. Durst that the applicant should provide the Town with an approximate time line, and then follow the previous plan of coming back to the Planning Board at each phase. Ms. Eyerman said that ideally, phase 1 could be closing out and being reclaimed while the applicant is working on phase 2, which the Board should be approving within the next 3 months. The plan for that reclamation should then come before the Board for approval. Mr. Grondin commented that traditionally enforcement in most towns is done by Code Enforcement.

Mr. Grondin told Ms. Durst that the original approval required 7 days' notice to abutters of proposed blasting, which is problematic because it is weather driven, so in the early 2000s approval was granted to give notice the day of blasting. Mr. Grondin said that 2 to 3 hours before a blast some 24 to 30 people are called.

Mr. Grondin told Mr. Siegel that he has no explanation for why there was a deviation from the original plan and after many inspections, no one ever indicated that there was a problem. Mr. Siegel commented on what appear to be massive code violations by the Town in its oversight/enforcement. Mr. Siegel and Mr. Grondin discussed dust control after a blast and the current size of the stockpile area.

Ms. Durst confirmed that the reclamation plan is not before the Planning Board this evening that all the Board is considering is the additional stormwater infrastructure, the salt storage shed and the stump and brush processing. Mr. Anderson confirmed with Ms. Eyerman that the Board is not approving a reclamation plan this evening. Mr. Grondin said there is no detail for the temporary reclamation.

Tom Poirier, Director of Community Development, recommended that the Board keep separate the reclamation plan and compliance issues going forward from what is being proposed in the site plan amendment tonight. Enforcement and size of the quarry will be handled by staff and the Code Enforcement Office, regardless of the outcome of this amendment.

In reply to Mr. Anderson, Ms. Eyerman said the remaining elevation detail for stormwater can be worked through with peer and staff review. Ms. Eyerman told Mr. Anderson that the applicant has submitted information about noise levels created by the stump grinding operation. Mr. Grondin summarized the results of a noise study that was conducted. He told the Board that the DEP has approved the proposed locations for the salt shed and the stump grinding operation. The only thing that has not been approved by DEP is the proposed stormwater pond, although they are aware the applicant is discussing this with the Town and will review it after the Town has made its decision on it. Ms. Eyerman said that the stormwater infrastructure should be added in for the Board's approval under the site plan amendment.

Susan Durst MOVED and Vincent Grassi SECONDED a motion to grant Grondin Aggregates, LLC's request for major site plan approval for a salt storage shed, stump grinding operation and stormwater infrastructure located at 57 Ossipee Trail on Map 42, Lot 5, zoned R, SR and UR zoning districts, based on Findings of Fact and Conditions of

Approval as written by the Town Planner and modified and conditioned by the Planning Board. Motion CARRIED, 6 ayes (Scott Herrick absent).

Mr. Anderson noted that the Planning Board cannot take up items for review beyond 10:00 p.m.; it is now nearly 9:00 p.m. and only one item has been discussed. He said that Item 7 in particular probably will not be discussed by the Board this evening and is scheduled as a pre-application discussion and not a public hearing; it will be continued until a later Board meeting date, along with other items.

David BURROWS MOVED and Russell Frank SECONDED a motion to postpone discussion on Item 7 to the next Planning Board meeting. Motion CARRIED, 6 ayes. Ms. Eyerman advised that the next meeting will be September 19, 2022.

Ms. Eyerman confirmed that the order of hearing will be the same as the order this evening, and Item 7 will be the last one on next week's agenda as well. No new items will be added to the agenda for September 19, 2022.

Subdivision and Private Way – JDP, LLC – Buck Street – a request for approval of a 13-lot residential subdivision and private way off Buck Street, zoned SR, Map 76, Lot 42.

Ms. Eyerman advised the Board that the applicant has requested exemptions from the requirements to provide public water and sewer due to distances and the costs, with information provided that providing public sewer would be \$10,000 above the cost of private septic per unit, and the closest public water is 2,637 feet away. Information needs to be provided showing that adequate potable water exists, a private way plan needs to be provided, and a letter of financial capacity needs to be provided. Homeowners' association documents need to be provided as well. The applicant should also check one of the lot frontages to assure that it is 200 feet in length, and driveway locations for lots 3 and 4 need to be reconfigured to be off the private way. Ms. Eyerman said that a waiver has been requested to provide a Class B soils survey instead of the required Class A survey.

Owens McCullough, Sebago Technics, appeared on behalf of the applicant and described the project. The proposed private way is proposed to be 900 feet, and will be paved to the Town's 7 to 10 lot private way standard due to including the driveways for lots 3 and 4 on the private way. Mr. McCullough said they have asked for a waiver from the Class A soils survey requirement due to the consistent soils on site, sands and gravel materials, and said that the Board seemed agreeable at the sketch plan review discussion to grant the waiver. There are at least two test pits on each lot and all test pits are passing to allow for septic on each lot. The private way will come in to a hammerhead turnaround, the houses will need to be sprinkled. Mr. McCullough said this developer does not favor homeowners' association agreements, but they are proposing a maintenance agreement for the private way. He said the closest sewer is about 6 miles away. A 15 foot grading and utility easement outside the right-of-way has been proposed along the road.

Mr. Frank asked if consideration has been given to building sidewalks. Mr. McCullough said that sidewalks are not required in this location and because it is a dead end road, he is not sure anything

would be gained by installing sidewalks. Ms. Durst confirmed the location of the wetland on lot 7.

Mr. Anderson, Ms. Eyerman and Mr. McCullough discussed the method of calculating the cost to bring public water to the site.

Mr. Anderson confirmed with Mr. McCullough that suitable soils have been found for septic fields. Mr. Anderson asked that well exclusions zones be provided on the final plans.

David BURROWS MOVED and Seven Siegel SECONDED a motion to grant the applicant's request under Chapter 3, Section 3-3, B.11 to waive the requirement of a Class A soil survey to a Class B survey. Motion CARRIED, 6 ayes (Scott Herrick absent).

David Burroughs MOVED and Susan Durst SECONDED a motion to grant the applicant's waiver from Section 2-10, the provision of public water supply. Motion CARRIED, 6 ayes (Scott Herrick absent).

Ms. Eyerman confirmed with Mr. Grassi that a homeowners' association is required and is not waivable by the Board. Mr. McCullough said the only purpose for the HOA is the maintenance of the road. Ms. Eyerman said a review of the Code can be done at final plan review about the requirements in Chapter 3.

Terry Deering, Public Works Director, answered a question from Ms. Durst saying that typically when a development is proposed coming in off a roadway, the impact of that development is calculated and with the number of lots proposed in this development, a one inch overlay for property boundaries is \$2500 per house lot.

Vincent Grassi MOVED and Susan Durst SECONDED a motion to grant approval for JDP Estates, located on Map 76, Lot 42, in the Suburban Residential zoning district, based on Findings of Fact and Conditions of Approval as written by the Town Planner. (Scott Herrick absent).

Mr. Anderson said again that no new items will be taken up beyond 10:00 p.m., and any items remaining unheard this evening will be moved to the Board's agenda for September 19, 2022.

ITEM 3 Subdivision and Private Way – Megan and Gary Jordan – Old Orchard Road – a request for approval of a 5-lot subdivision and a 670 foot private way off Old Orchard Road, zoned R, Map 57, Lot 19.

Ms. Eyerman advised the Board that this application is before the Board for the third time. This project will also require a homeowners' association, as discussed earlier. The applicant has requested waivers for 3 different items: one is to grant preliminary and final approval in one meeting; one is to waive the requirement for a paved apron; and the third is to waive the requirement to provide a nitrate study.

Andrew Morrell, BH2M Engineers, introduced Gary Jordan, applicant. Mr. Morrell described the 24.6 acre parcel, zoned Rural, off Old Orchard Road. The applicant is proposing a 5-lot conventional subdivision, and a 724 foot private way, built to the 2-6 lot private way standards. The

lots will be served by subsurface waste water disposal, drilled wells, and underground electric. Calculations have been provided for the extension of public water and sewer, which have shown that it is not financially feasible to provide those extensions. The wetlands have been delineated, there is an Army Corps of Engineers jurisdictional vernal pool in the southern limits of the parcel, but no impacts are proposed to that vernal pool area. Stormwater is collected is collected with a vegetated ditch and discharged to a detention pond on lots 1 and 2. The applicant's attorney is working on the HOA and road maintenance agreement documents. The applicant has submitted proof of financial capacity.

Regarding the waiver requests, Mr. Morrell said that the applicant is proposing larger lots ranging from 1.3 acres to 13 acres in size and the soils are suitable, so there is a waiver request for the nitrate study. The paved apron waiver is a formality as Old Orchard Road is currently gravel and the proposed private way will also be gravel, so a paved apron doesn't make a lot of sense. Finally, the applicant would like to receive both preliminary and final approvals in one meeting.

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD OPENED: None offered. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD ENDED

Mr. Morrell replied to a question from Mr. Siegel that a private way is required to have a 30-foot paved entrance of the road, that the existing road is gravel, and the proposed private way is also gravel and therefore a paved apron does not seem to make sense. Mr. Anderson commented that the Public Works Director agreed and supported the waiver request.

Mr. Anderson confirmed with Mr. Morrell that the test pits done on site resulted in suitable soils for septic fields on each lot. Mr. Morrell said there are two possible sites on each lot and no septic systems are proposed within 100 feet of the exterior boundaries.

Gary Jordan, applicant, 33 Quincy Drive, pointed out abutting vacant lots and said that the only abutting lots with well and septic would not be immediately adjacent. Mr. Jordan spoke to abutter comments from Linda McDonald about concerns about the impact of the road on Phoebe Road; however, the road configuration has been changed so that nothing will impact Phoebe Road.

Vincent Grassi MOVED and David Burrows SECONDED a motion to approve the request for a waiver from the requirement in Chapter 2, Section 2-5, G. 4(k) H.4 for a paved apron for the roadway and driveways. Motion CARRIED, 6 ayes (Scott Herrick absent).

Mr. Morrell advised Mr. Anderson that a Class A soils survey was provided. Mr. Anderson said he believes that because of the size of the lots, knowing where test pits are going, that the lots are large enough for septic systems, and the well exclusion zones, the waiver can be granted. Ms. Durst expressed concern about the amount of wetlands on site; Mr. Siegel concurred. In reply to Mr. Anderson, Ms. Eyerman said the nitrate study applies to drinking well supplies. Mr. Morrell told Ms. Durst that no wetland impacts are proposed as part of this subdivision. Mr. Jordan said most of the wetlands will be on his property.

Susan Durst MOVED and Russell Frank SECONDED a motion to approve the request for a waiver from the requirement in Chapter 3, Section 3-3, 12 to provide a nitrate study. Motion CARRIED, 6 ayes (Scott Herrick absent).

Mr. Anderson referred to the note from the Town's peer engineer that they felt the proposed plan meets the criteria for preliminary and final approval at the same meeting, and said he feels comfortable granting the requested waiver. Ms. Eyerman said there are some details needing to be added to the private way plan which can be done after approval and handled by staff.

Vincent Grassi MOVED and Russell Frank SECONDED a motion to grant the request for a waiver from Chapter 3, Section 3-3, 3-4 and to grant preliminary and final approval in the same meeting. Motion CARRIED, 6 ayes (Scott Herrick absent).

Vincent Grassi MOVED and Susan Durst SECONDED a motion to grant preliminary and final subdivision and private way approval for Jordan Subdivision, located on Map 57, Lot 19, the Rural zoning district, based on Findings of Fact and Conditions of Approval as written by the Planning Board. Motion CARRIED, 6 ayes (Scott Herrick absent).

ITEM 4 Discussion – Site Plan Amendment Pre-Application – Gorham Town School Department – Narragansett School – a request for approval to add an additional modular building to the Narragansett School to consist of 9 modular classrooms, bathrooms and office space, zoned OR/UR, Map 26, Lot 4.

Ms. Eyerman advised the Board that this is a sketch plan before the Board for the first time. Both public water and sewer are available in front of the site and the site is in the MS4 district for stormwater maintenance.

Owens McCullough, Sebago Technics, introduced Norman Justice, School Department Facilities Manager, and told the Board that as schools are experiencing need for additional classrooms, two pods of modular classrooms have already been added at the Narragansett School. The buildings have air conditioning, heating, and ventilation. Also added were a cafeteria and a kitchen. Students enter the modular classrooms through the Narragansett School into an enclosed hallway. Proposed is phase 4 to include another 8 classrooms, including bathrooms in the classrooms, with an enclosed hallway from the cafeteria into the classrooms. There public water and sewer are already on site, and an electrical service coming in from the site will have to be modified. Stormwater will be collected and treated and discharged to the stormwater pond already on site. Mr. McCullough said the project will be voted on in November for funding approval, and if approved, it is hoped to have the project under construction by the spring.

Mr. Anderson asked if there will be a full frost wall under the buildings. Mr. McCullough replied that these classrooms are on an insulated structural slab on grade. The kitchen was a full frost foundation but it was different construction. Mr. McCullough said that the longevity of these buildings is similar to a conventional home. Mr. McCullough described the route that is taken now and which will be taken to the new modulars. Norman Justice came to the podium and said that the former cafeteria was actually a multi-purpose gym and cafeteria with a walk way corridor provided for circulation.

Mr. McCullough described the buildings as fully insulated and having vinyl siding and a membrane roof. Mr. McCullough described the utilities available and how service for the new modulars will be extended under the building for the sewer and water through the building. A new electrical line will need to be run to service the new classrooms. Mr. McCullough told Mr. Siegel that heat will be

provided through natural gas. Mr. Justice told Mr. Siegel that approximately 20 students are proposed to be in each classroom. Mr. Siegel asked how many classrooms the school needs now, based on overcrowding. Mr. Justice said they are looking to add a pre-K program so those will displace several classrooms in the existing building and as other schools start exceeding their capacities, they will alter the attendance zones to move children into the Narragansett facility. Mr. Justice said these new classrooms won't necessarily be filled all at once. If it becomes necessary to add more classrooms in the future, Mr. Justice said they hope to apply with a State application for school construction and having modulars would help that application in the State funding process.

Mr. McCullough told Mr. Anderson that the limited use parking for the athletic fields will not be opened to the public. Mr. Justice said there is no major public access is allowed to that parking. Mr. Justice told Mr. Siegel that the plan is to expand the east side playground for the pre-K use.

## **CONTINUED TO MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2022**

ITEM 5

**Discussion** – **Site Plan Amendment Pre-Application** – **Gorham Town School Department** – **Gorham High School** – a request for approval to expand the Gorham High School kitchen and cafeteria by approximately 100 seats and to add a modular classroom building and 20 additional parking spaces, zoned UR, Map 106, Lot 47.

#### **CONTINUED TO MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2022**

ITEM 6

**Discussion – Site Plan Amendment Pre-Application – Stephanie Cressey – Cressey's Apartments Expansion –** a request for approval to expand the existing apartment complex by 6 additional buildings and another driveway from Flaggy Meadow Road, zoned SR, Map 36, Lots 12, 15, 11-1.

## POSTPONED TO MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2022

ITEM 7

**Discussion** – Site Plan and Subdivision Pre-Application – K/V Enterprises – Robie Street – a request for approval of a site and subdivision plan which includes residential units, streets, open space, recreational facilities and trail network, zoned UR/SR, Map 24, Lots 19 and 20, Map 25, Lot 8, and Map 27, Lot 20.

#### ADJOURNMENT

Seven Siegel MOVED and Susan Durst SECONDED a motion to adjourn. Motion CARRIED, 6 ayes (Scott Herrick absent). [10:15 P.M.]

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara C. Skinner, Clerk of the Planning Board

Letter 12, 2022